arXiv:1003.4129v1 [math-ph] 22 Mar 2010

# ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR THE WAVE FUNCTION IN A ONE-DIMENSIONAL MODEL OF INELASTIC INTERACTION

DOMENICO FINCO AND ALESSANDRO TETA

Abstract. We consider a two-body quantum system in dimension one composed by a test particle interacting with an harmonic oscillator placed at the position $a > 0$. At time zero the test particle is concentrated around the position $R_0$ with average velocity $\pm v_0$ while the oscillator is in its ground state. In a suitable scaling limit, corresponding for the test particle to a semi-classical regime with small energy exchange with the oscillator, we give a complete asymptotic expansion of the wave function of the system in both cases $R_0 < a$ and $R_0 > a$.

## 1. Introduction

The analysis of the classical behavior emerging in a quantum system is a widely studied subject both from the theoretical and from the experimental point of view. It is generally accepted that such behavior cannot be explained by simply taking the limit $\hbar \to 0$ for the isolated system but the crucial role of the environment must be taken into account. In particular the environment is responsible for the suppression of the quantum interference between two different components of a superposition state of the system. The dynamical mechanism producing the suppression is known as decoherence ([BGJKS], [GJKKSZ], for some rigorous results see also [AFFT], [CCF], [D], [DuFT], [DS]).
In this context, a particularly important problem is the explanation of the appearance of straight tracks in a cloud chamber produced by an $\alpha$-particle emitted in the form of a spherical wave. The problem was raised by Mott ([M]) who gave an heuristic explanation based on the analysis of the interaction of the $\alpha$-particle with only two atoms of the vapour. In [DFT1] and [DFT2] the same problem was studied in dimension one and three, and a rigorous result was given up to second order in perturbation theory. In this paper we consider an even simpler situation, i.e. a test particle in dimension one interacting with one harmonic oscillator, and we want to give a complete asymptotic expansion of the wave function of the system valid at any order in perturbation theory.
We want to emphasize that a complete and detailed analysis of this case is the starting point for the analysis of the more realistic model of an $\alpha$-particle interacting with many atoms.
The Schrödinger equation for the wave function $\Psi(t)$ of the system is

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi(t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\Delta_R\Psi(t) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta_r\Psi(t) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(r-a)^2\Psi(t) + \lambda V(\delta^{-1}(R-r))\Psi(t) \tag{1.1}$$

In (1.1) we have denoted by $R$, $M$ the position coordinate and the mass of the test particle, and by $r$, $m$, $\omega$ the position coordinate, the mass and the frequency of the oscillator placed at the position $a > 0$. The smooth interaction potential is denoted by $V$ and $\lambda > 0$, $\delta > 0$ are the coupling constant and the effective range of the interaction.

We consider two possible initial state chosen in the product form

$$\Psi_0^{\pm}(R,r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}}\, e^{\pm i \frac{M v_0}{\hbar} R}\, \eta(\sigma^{-1}(R - R_0))\, \varphi_0(r) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\sigma, v_0 > 0$, $R_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $\|\eta\|_{L^2} = 1$, where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Schwartz space. We have denoted by $\pm v_0, R_0$ the average velocity and position of the test particle at time zero and by $\varphi_0$ the ground state of the oscillator. More generally, eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the oscillator are denoted by

$$\varphi_n(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\phi_n(\gamma^{-1}(r-a)), \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \gamma = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}} \tag{1.3}$$

$$E_n = \hbar\omega\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{1.4}$$

where $\phi_n$ is the Hermite function of order $n$.

We want to study the asymptotic behavior of the wave function of the system under a suitably chosen scaling limit. More precisely we introduce a small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider a semi-classical scaling for the test particle for $\varepsilon \to 0$, i.e.

$$\hbar = \varepsilon^2 \qquad M = 1 \qquad \sigma = \varepsilon \tag{1.5}$$

For the physical parameters of the oscillator we fix

$$m = \varepsilon \qquad \omega = \varepsilon^{-1} \tag{1.6}$$

which imply $\gamma = \varepsilon$, i.e. the oscillator is well localized around the position $a$, and $E_n = \varepsilon(n+1/2)$, i.e. the energy level spacing of the oscillator is much smaller than the kinetic energy of the test particle. Finally we choose the range of the interaction of the same order of the initial localization of test particle and oscillator and fix the coupling constant such that the deviation from the free dynamics is small, i.e.

$$\delta = \varepsilon \qquad \lambda = \varepsilon^2 \tag{1.7}$$

Under the above scaling, the system is described by the rescaled wave function $\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm}(t)$ given by

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm}(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H^\varepsilon}\Psi_{\varepsilon,0}^{\pm} \tag{1.8}$$

where $H^\varepsilon$ is the Hamiltonian of the system

$$H^\varepsilon = H_0^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 V^\varepsilon \tag{1.9}$$

$$H_0^\varepsilon = h_0^\varepsilon + h_a^\varepsilon \equiv -\frac{\varepsilon^4}{2}\Delta_R + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[-\frac{\varepsilon^4}{2}\Delta_r + \frac{1}{2}(r-a)^2\right] \tag{1.10}$$

$$V^\varepsilon = V(\varepsilon^{-1}(R - r)) \tag{1.11}$$

and $\Psi^{\pm}_{\varepsilon,0}$ are the two possible initial states

$$\Psi^{\pm}_{\varepsilon,0}(R,r) = \psi^{\pm}_{\varepsilon}(R)\,\phi^{\varepsilon}_{0}(r) \tag{1.12}$$

$$\psi^{\pm}_{\varepsilon}(R) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,e^{\pm i\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}R}\,\eta(\varepsilon^{-1}(R-R_0)) \tag{1.13}$$

$$\phi^{\varepsilon}_{0}(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\phi_0(\varepsilon^{-1}(r-a)) \tag{1.14}$$

The aim of this paper is to characterize the asymptotic expansion of the wave function of the system $\Psi^{\pm}_{\varepsilon}(t)$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$.

We list some notation which will be used in the following.

$$\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1,\dots,a_l), \qquad d\boldsymbol{a} = da_1\dots da_l \tag{1.15}$$

$$|\boldsymbol{a}| = \sum_{j=1}^{l}|a_j| \qquad \|\boldsymbol{a}\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{l}|a_j|^2 \qquad \boldsymbol{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{b} = \sum_{j=1}^{l}a_jb_j \tag{1.16}$$

The norm of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ and the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted by by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. The norm of the total Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ will be simply denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover we introduce the norm

$$|||f|||_{k,p} = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\|f^j\,\langle\cdot\rangle^{k-j}\|_{L^p} \tag{1.17}$$

where $f^j$ denotes the derivative of $f$ of order $j$ and $\langle x\rangle = (1+x^2)^{1/2}$. The symbol $\hat{f}$ indicates the Fourier transform of $f$. The propagator of the harmonic oscillator centered in the origin and corresponding to $\hbar = m = \omega = 1$ will be denoted by $U(t)$. Its integral kernel is explicitly given by

$$U(t;x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})t}\phi_n(x)\phi_n(y) = \frac{1}{[\pi(1-e^{-2it})]^{1/2}}e^{-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2i\tan t}+\frac{xy}{i\sin t}} \tag{1.18}$$

Finally $c$ will be a positive numerical constant whose value may change line by line.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we state our results and outline the strategy of the proof. The proofs are postponed to section 3 while in section 4 we explicitly compute the first terms of the asymptotic expansion and discuss a physical application. In the appendix we give the proof of proposition 2.1.

## 2. RESULTS

We first give some heuristic arguments in order to explain the qualitative behavior of the system. Let us consider the initial state $\Psi^{+}_{\varepsilon,0}$, i.e. the oscillator in its ground state and the test particle initially described by a coherent state with average position $R_0$ and positive velocity $v_0$. For small $t > 0$ the effect of the interaction is negligible and then the state of the test particle will undergo a free semi-classical evolution. As the time increases, it is reasonable to expect two completely different

situations in the cases $R_0 < a$ (the test particle "hits" the oscillator) and $R_0 > a$ (the test particle does not "hit" the oscillator).
In the case $R_0 < a$, taking into account that the effective dimension of the oscillator and the range of the interaction are of order $\varepsilon$, one has that the interaction will take place approximately at the (classical) impact time $\tau$ given by

$$\tau = \frac{|R_0 - a|}{v_0} \tag{2.1}$$

Due to the interaction, the state of the test particle and of the oscillator will be almost instantaneously modified. Then for $t > \tau$ we shall find the oscillator in a superposition of stationary states while for the test particle we expect to find again a free semi-classical propagation, but of a modified coherent state. Therefore for this case the problem is reduced to compute the modification of the state of the system determined by the interaction at $t = \tau$. This will be done using a perturbative expansion of the wave function of the system and exploiting stationary phase methods to control each term of the expansion. Therefore we shall refer to this case as the stationary case.
In the case $R_0 > a$ the situation is easier since we have only to verify that for any $t > 0$ the state of the system has an essentially unperturbed evolution, with the oscillator in its ground state and the test particle evolving according to a free semi-classical propagation of its initial state. This will be done using standard non-stationary phase methods and therefore this case will be referred to as the non-stationary case.
It is obviously true that if we consider the other possible initial state $\Psi^-_{\varepsilon,0}$ then the opposite situation occurs, i.e. the case $R_0 < a$ corresponds to the non-stationary case while the case $R_0 > a$ corresponds to the stationary case.
Let us outline the strategy of the proof for the characterization of the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi^+_\varepsilon(t)$ (for $\Psi^-_\varepsilon(t)$ the analysis is completely analogous).
The starting point is to represent the solution using Duhamel's formula

$$\Psi^+_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0} - i\int_0^t ds\, e^{-i\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon^2}H^\varepsilon}V^\varepsilon e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0} \tag{2.2}$$

After $k+1$ iterations we get

$$\Psi^+_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\left(\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0} + \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} I_l^\varepsilon(t)\right) + \mathcal{R}^\varepsilon_{k+1}(t) \tag{2.3}$$

where we have denoted for any $l = 1, 2\dots, k+1$

$$I_l^\varepsilon(t) \equiv (-i)^l \int_0^t ds_l \dots \int_0^{s_2} ds_1\, e^{i\frac{s_l}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\, V^\varepsilon\, e^{-i\frac{s_l}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon} \dots e^{i\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\, V^\varepsilon\, e^{-i\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0} \tag{2.4}$$

and the rest $\mathcal{R}^\varepsilon_{k+1}(t)$ is

$$\mathcal{R}^\varepsilon_{k+1}(t) = -i\int_0^t ds\, e^{-i\frac{t-s}{\varepsilon^2}H^\varepsilon}V^\varepsilon e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\, I^\varepsilon_{k+1}(s) \tag{2.5}$$

It is convenient to consider the expansion of the wave function $\Psi_\varepsilon^+(t)$ on the basis of eigenfunctions of the oscillator, i.e.

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^+(t; R, r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n^\varepsilon(t; R)\, \phi_n^\varepsilon(r) \tag{2.6}$$

$$f_n^\varepsilon(t; R) = \langle \phi_n^\varepsilon \,, \Psi_\varepsilon^+(t; R, \cdot) \rangle \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\| \Psi_\varepsilon^+(t) \|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \| f_n^\varepsilon(t) \|_{L^2}^2 \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.3) and (2.7) we have

$$f_n^\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} h_0^\varepsilon} \left( \delta_{n0}\, e^{-i\frac{t}{2\varepsilon}} \psi_\varepsilon^+ + e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon}(n+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{l=1}^{k+1} \langle \phi_n^\varepsilon \,, I_l^\varepsilon(t) \rangle \right) + \langle \phi_n^\varepsilon \,, \mathcal{R}_k^\varepsilon(t) \rangle \tag{2.9}$$

The next step is to give an explicit expression for the quantity $\langle \phi_n^\varepsilon \,, I_l^\varepsilon(t) \rangle$. We introduce the rescaled variable $x$ given by

$$x = \varepsilon^{-1}(R - R_0) \tag{2.10}$$

and the following phase function

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{v_0}{\varepsilon} x - \frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2} R_0 \tag{2.11}$$

We have the following representation formula.

**Proposition 2.1.** *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\langle \phi_n^\varepsilon \,, I_l^\varepsilon(t; \varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot) \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)} (-i)^l \sum_{n_1 \dots n_l = 0}^{\infty} \delta_{n_1 0} \int_0^t ds_l \int_0^{s_l} ds_{l-1} \dots \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\xi}; x) e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon} \Phi_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\xi})} \tag{2.12}$$

*where*

$$F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\xi}; x) = \eta(x - \boldsymbol{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) \prod_{j=1}^{l} \hat{V}(\xi_j) \widehat{\phi_{n_{j+1}} \phi_{n_j}}(\xi_j) e^{i\left(\frac{s_j}{2}\xi_j^2 + \xi_{j-1} \sum_{k=j}^{l} \xi_k s_k - \xi_j x\right)} \tag{2.13}$$

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \Phi_j(s_j, \xi_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \left[ (a - R_0)\xi_j + (n_{j+1} - n_j) s_j - v_0 s_j \xi_j \right] \tag{2.14}$$

*and $n_{l+1} \equiv n$, $\xi_0 \equiv 0$.*

The proof is a long but straightforward computation and it is postponed to the appendix. From formula (2.12) one sees that the problem is reduced to the analysis of a highly oscillatory integral. The asymptotic behavior for $\varepsilon \to 0$ is then characterized by the presence (or the absence) of critical points of the phase $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ in the integration region. The unique critical point of the phase is easily found

$$\boldsymbol{s^c} = sgn\,(a - R_0)\,\boldsymbol{\tau}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\tau} \equiv (\tau, \dots, \tau) \tag{2.15}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi^c} = (\xi^c_1, \dots, \xi^c_l), \qquad \xi^c_j = \frac{n_{j+1} - n_j}{v_0}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, l \tag{2.16}$$

For $R_0 > a$ the critical point lies outside the integration region and then we can use standard non-stationary phase arguments to show that the contribution of the integral can be made arbitrarily small. The result is summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > 0$ and assume that $|||V|||_{k+1,1} < \infty$.*
*If $R_0 > a$ then*

$$\Psi^+_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H^\varepsilon_0}\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0} + \mathcal{N}^+_\varepsilon(t) \tag{2.17}$$

*and if $R_0 < a$ then*

$$\Psi^-_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H^\varepsilon_0}\Psi^-_{\varepsilon,0} + \mathcal{N}^-_\varepsilon(t) \tag{2.18}$$

*The remainders $\mathcal{N}^\pm_\varepsilon(t)$ satisfy*

$$\|\mathcal{N}^\pm_\varepsilon(t)\| < D_k\, \varepsilon^{k+1} \tag{2.19}$$

*where $D_k$ depends on $t$, $v_0$, $V$, $\eta$.*

For $R_0 < a$ the critical point lies on a one dimensional subset of the boundary of the integration region. This means that the explicit computation of the asymptotic expansion in power of $\varepsilon$ is rather involved (see e.g. [BH],[F],[H]). In order to simplify the analysis, we shall exploit the fact that the phase in formula (2.12) is linear in the variable $\boldsymbol{\xi}$. More precisely, we have

$$\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\xi}; x) e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{\xi})} = e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0 \boldsymbol{\xi^c}\cdot \boldsymbol{s}} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi} F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{\xi}; x) e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0(\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{\tau})\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}} \tag{2.20}$$

where we have used the notation introduced in (2.15), (2.16). Exploiting (2.20) in (2.12), introducing the new integration variables $z_j = \varepsilon^{-1}v_0(s_j - \tau)$, $j = 1, \dots, l$, and defining

$$\Omega_\varepsilon \equiv \{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^l \mid -\varepsilon^{-1}v_0\tau < z_1 < z_2 < \ldots < z_l < \varepsilon^{-1}v_0(t - \tau)\} \tag{2.21}$$

we get

$$\langle \phi^\varepsilon_n\,, I^\varepsilon_l(t; \varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot)\rangle = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{iv_0}\right)^l \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}\, e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \sum_{n_1 \ldots n_l = 0}^{\infty} \delta_{n_1 0} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{z}\, e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi^c}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{\tau} + \varepsilon v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}; x) e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} \tag{2.22}$$

Equation (2.22) suggests that in order to have an asymptotic expansion of $I^\varepsilon_l(t)$ it is sufficient to consider the Taylor expansion of $F_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{\tau} + \varepsilon v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\xi}; x)$ and to prove that for each term we can extend the integration w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{z}$ to

$$\Omega_0 \equiv \{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^l \mid -\infty < z_1 < z_2 < \ldots < z_l < \infty\} \tag{2.23}$$

paying only a small error. Let us rewrite $\langle \phi_n^\varepsilon, I_l^\varepsilon(t)\rangle$ in a more convenient form. From (2.22) and (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \phi_n^\varepsilon, I_l^\varepsilon(t;\varepsilon x + R_0,\cdot)\rangle = &\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{iv_0}\right)^l \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \sum_{n_1\ldots n_l=0}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{z}\, e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi}^c\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} \prod_{j=1}^{l} \widehat{\phi_{n_{j+1}}\phi_{n_j}}(\xi_j)\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\\ &\cdot\, e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\xi_j^2} e^{i\tau\xi_{j-1}\sum_{l=j}^{l}\xi_l} e^{-i\xi_j x}\, \eta(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\, e^{\frac{i\varepsilon}{2v_0}\sum_{j=1}^{l}(z_j\xi_j^2 + 2\xi_{j-1}\sum_{k=j}^{l} z_k\xi_k)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

We introduce the following matrix valued function

$$M(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & \ldots & z_l \\ z_2 & z_2 & z_3 & & z_l \\ z_3 & z_3 & z_3 & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ z_l & z_l & z_l & \ldots & z_l \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.25}$$

and we write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(z_j\xi_j^2 + 2\xi_{j-1}\sum_{k=j}^{l} z_k\xi_k\right) = \boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi} \tag{2.26}$$

Furthermore we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{l} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\xi_j^2} e^{i\tau\xi_{j-1}\sum_{l=j}^{l}\xi_l} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{\tau})\boldsymbol{\xi}} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\xi_j\right)^2} \tag{2.27}$$

The series over $n_1\ldots n_l = 0$ can be explicitly computed and each of them reconstruct the propagator of the harmonic oscillator. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n_1\ldots n_l=0}^{\infty} e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi}^c\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\widehat{\phi_{n_{j+1}}\phi_{n_j}}(\xi_j)\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\right]\delta_{n_1 0}\\ &\qquad = \sum_{n_1\ldots n_l=0}^{\infty} (2\pi)^{-j/2} \prod_{j=1}^{l}\left[\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\int dx_j \phi_{n_{j+1}}(x_j)\phi_{n_j}(x_j) e^{-i\xi_j x_j} e^{\frac{i}{v_0}(n_{j+1}-n_j)z_j}\right]\delta_{n_1 0}\\ &\qquad = (2\pi)^{-j/2} e^{\frac{i}{v_0}(n+1/2)z_l} \left\langle \phi_n, e^{-i\xi_n\cdot} U\left(\frac{z_l - z_{l-1}}{v_0}\right) e^{-i\xi_{n-1}\cdot}\cdots U\left(\frac{z_1}{v_0}\right) e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\phi_0 \right\rangle \prod_{j=1}^{l}\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\\ &\qquad = (2\pi)^{-j/2} e^{\frac{i}{v_0}(n+1/2)z_l} \langle \phi_n, \zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle \prod_{j=1}^{l}\widehat{V}(\xi_j) \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where in (2.28) we have introduced the notation

$$\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \equiv e^{-i\xi_n\cdot} U\left(\frac{z_l - z_{l-1}}{v_0}\right) e^{-i\xi_{n-1}\cdot}\cdots U\left(\frac{z_1}{v_0}\right) e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\phi_0 \tag{2.29}$$

In the end we arrive at

$$\langle \phi_n^\varepsilon, I_l^\varepsilon(t;\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{iv_0\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^l \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{z}\, e^{\frac{i}{v_0}nz_l} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^l \xi_j\right)^2} \langle \phi_n, \zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \widehat{V}(\xi_j)\, e^{-i\xi_j x} \eta(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}) e^{\frac{i\varepsilon}{2v_0}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}} \tag{2.30}$$

Our main result on the asymptotic behavior of $\Psi_\varepsilon^\pm$ for $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the stationary case is summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let us fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $t > \tau$ and assume that $|||V\,\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{k+2,1} < \infty$.*
*If $R_0 < a$ then*

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^+(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon} \sum_{h=0}^k \varepsilon^h\, \mathcal{I}_h^+\right) + \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon^+(t) \tag{2.31}$$

*and if $R_0 > a$ then*

$$\Psi_\varepsilon^-(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon} \sum_{h=0}^k \varepsilon^h\, \mathcal{I}_h^-\right) + \mathcal{S}_\varepsilon^-(t) \tag{2.32}$$

*where the coefficient of the expansions $\mathcal{I}_h^\pm$ are given by*

$$\mathcal{I}_0^\pm = \eta\phi_0 \qquad\qquad \mathcal{I}_h^\pm = \sum_{l=1}^h \mathcal{I}_l^{h,\pm} \quad h \geqslant 1 \tag{2.33}$$

*and*

$$\langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_l^{h,\pm}(\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle = \frac{1}{h!(i\sqrt{2\pi})^l(\pm v_0)^h} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \int_{\Omega_0} d\boldsymbol{z} e^{\pm\frac{i}{v_0}(n+1/2)z_l} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^l \xi_j\right)^2} \langle \phi_n, \zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^l \widehat{V}(\xi_j)\, e^{-i\xi_j x} \sum_{m=0}^{h-l} \eta^m(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m \left(\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{h-l-m} \tag{2.34}$$

*The remainders $\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon^\pm(t)$ satisfy*

$$\|\mathcal{S}_\varepsilon^\pm(t)\| < C_k\,\varepsilon^{k+1} \tag{2.35}$$

*where $C_k$ depends on $t$, $v_0$, $V$, $\eta$.*

Theorem 2.3 shows that for $t > \tau$ we have again a free evolution of a modified initial state.
We notice that the factor $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$ in (2.31), (2.32) takes into account the change of scale between $R$ and $x$ and therefore $\varepsilon^{-1/2}\|\mathcal{I}_l^{h,\pm}\|$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$.

## 3. Proofs

In this section we shall prove theorems 2.2 and 2.3. For the sake of simplicity we shall drop $\varepsilon$ as index. We are not interested in explicitly determining the dependence on $k$ of $C_k$ and $D_k$ and therefore many combinatorial factors appearing in the following formulas will be included in $c$. Let us start with the non stationary case.

**Proof of theorem 2.2**

We shall give the proof only for $\Psi^+(t)$ with $R_0 > a$, since the case of $\Psi^-(t)$ with $R_0 < a$ can be treated exactly in the same way. Let us consider the expansion (2.3) with $k = 0$

$$\Psi^+(t) = e^{-i{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^2 H_0^\varepsilon}\Psi_0^+ + e^{-i{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^2 H_0^\varepsilon} I_1(t) + \mathcal{R}_1(t) \tag{3.1}$$

Taking into account (2.5), we have

$$\|\Psi^+(t) - e^{-i{\frac{t}{\varepsilon}}^2 H_0^\varepsilon}\Psi_0^+\| \leqslant (1 + t\,\|V\|_{L^\infty}) \sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t} \|I_1(s)\| \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.2) we see that the problem is reduced to estimate $\|I_1(t)\|$. Formula (2.12) for $l = 1$ reads

$$\langle \phi_n, I_1(t; \varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot)\rangle = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \int_0^t ds \int d\xi\, \widehat{V}(\xi)\widehat{\phi_n\phi_0}(\xi)\eta(x - s\xi)e^{\frac{i}{2}s\xi^2} e^{-ix\xi} e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0\left(\tau\xi - \frac{n+1/2}{v_0}s + s\xi\right)} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I_1(t)\|^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int dx \left| \int_0^t ds \int d\xi\; \widehat{V}(\xi)\widehat{\phi_n\phi_0}(\xi)\, \eta(x - s\xi) e^{\frac{i}{2}s\xi^2} e^{-ix\xi} e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0\left(\tau\xi - \frac{n+1/2}{v_0}s + s\xi\right)} \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int dx \int_0^t ds\, ds' \int d\xi\, d\xi'\; \widehat{V}(\xi)\widehat{\phi_n\phi_0}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{V}(\xi')\widehat{\phi_n\phi_0}(\xi')}\, \eta(x - s\xi)\, \eta(x - s'\xi') e^{\frac{i}{2}s\xi^2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}s'\xi^2} \\
&\quad \cdot\; e^{-ix\xi} e^{ix\xi'} e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0\left(\tau\xi - \frac{n+1/2}{v_0}s + s\xi\right)} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0\left(\tau\xi' - \frac{n+1/2}{v_0}s' + s'\xi'\right)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The series over $n$ in (3.4) can be explicitly computed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\phi_n\phi_0}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{\phi_n\phi_0}(\xi')} e^{-i(n+1/2)\frac{(s'-s)}{\varepsilon}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int dy\, dy'\; \phi_n(y)\phi_0(y)e^{-iy\xi}\phi_n(y')\phi_0(y')e^{iy'\xi'} e^{-i\frac{n(s'-s)}{\varepsilon}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(e^{-i\xi'\cdot}\phi_0, U\left(\frac{s'-s}{\varepsilon}\right) e^{-i\xi\cdot}\phi_0\right) \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} W_{s,s'}(\xi, \xi')
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.4) we obtain

$$\|I_1(t)\|^2 = \int dx \int_0^t ds\, ds' \int d\xi\, d\xi'\ \widehat{V}(\xi)\overline{\widehat{V}(\xi')}\,\eta(x-s\xi)\eta(x-s'\xi')e^{-ix\xi}e^{ix\xi'}e^{\frac{i}{2}s\xi^2}e^{-\frac{i}{2}s'\xi^2}W_{s,s'}(\xi,\xi') \cdot e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0(\tau+s)\xi}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0(\tau+s')\xi'} \tag{3.6}$$

The estimate of (3.6) can be easily obtained exploiting a non-stationary phase argument. We consider the identity

$$e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0(\tau+s)\xi} = \left(i\frac{\varepsilon}{v_0(\tau+s)}\right)^{k+1}\partial_\xi^{k+1}e^{-\frac{i}{\varepsilon}v_0(\tau+s)\xi} \tag{3.7}$$

and we integrate by parts $k+1$ times. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_1(t)\|^2 = &\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(i\frac{\varepsilon}{v_0}\right)^{2k+2}\int dx\int_0^t ds\, ds'\frac{1}{(\tau+s)^{k+1}(\tau+s')^{k+1}}\int d\xi\, d\xi' \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_5=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}|=k+1}}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{\boldsymbol{j}}\sum_{\substack{j'_1,\dots,j'_5=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}'|=k+1}}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{\boldsymbol{j}'}\\ &\cdot\ \eta^{j_1}(x-s\xi)\,(-s)^{j_1}\widehat{V}^{j_2}(\xi)\partial_\xi^{j_3}e^{\frac{i}{2}s\xi^2}e^{-ix\xi}(-ix)^{j_4}\partial_\xi^{j_5}\partial_{\xi'}^{j'_5}W_{s,s'}(\xi,\xi')\\ &\cdot\ \eta^{j'_1}(x-s'\xi')\,(-s')^{j'_1}\widehat{V}^{j'_2}(\xi')\partial_\xi^{j_3}e^{\frac{i}{2}s'\xi'^2}e^{-ix\xi'}(-ix')^{j'_4}\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\binom{k}{\boldsymbol{j}} = \frac{k!}{j_1!\,j_2!\,j_3!\,j_4!\,j_5!} \tag{3.9}$$

is the standard multinomial factor. From (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|I_1(t)\|^2 \leqslant c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau v_0}\right)^{2k+2}\int dx\int_0^t ds\, ds'\int d\xi\, d\xi' \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_5=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}|=k+1}}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{\boldsymbol{j}}\sum_{\substack{j'_1,\dots,j'_5=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}'|=k+1}}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{\boldsymbol{j}'}|\eta^{j_1}(x-s\xi)|\,s^{j_1}\\ \cdot\ |\widehat{V}^{j_2}(\xi)||\xi|^{j_3}|x|^{j_4}|\partial_\xi^{j_5}\partial_{\xi'}^{j'_5}W_{s,s'}(\xi,\xi')||\eta^{j'_1}(x-s'\xi')|\,s'^{j'_1}|\widehat{V}^{j'_2}(\xi')||s'\xi'|^{j'_3}|x'|^{j'_4}\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We interchange the integrals and we estimate the integration w.r.t. the variable $x$ using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\int dx\,|\eta^{j_1}(x-s\xi)||x|^{j_4}|\eta^{j'_1}(x-s'\xi')||x'|^{j'_4} \leqslant c\,\|\eta^{j_1}\langle\cdot\rangle^{j_4}\|_{L^2}\langle s\xi\rangle^{j_4}\|\eta^{j'_1}\langle\cdot\rangle^{j'_4}\|_{L^2}\langle s'\xi'\rangle^{j'_4} \tag{3.11}$$

Moreover from the definition (3.5) and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_\xi^{j_5}\partial_{\xi'}^{j'_5}W_{s,s'}(\xi,\xi')| &= \left|\left(e^{-i\xi'\cdot}y^{j'_5}\phi_0, U\left(\frac{s'-s}{\varepsilon}\right)e^{-i\xi\cdot}y^{j_5}\phi_0\right)\right| \leqslant \|y^{j_5}\phi_0\|\|y^{j'_5}\phi_0\|\\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{\Gamma\left(j_5+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(j'_5+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

If we substitute (3.11) and (3.12) into (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_1(t)\| &\leqslant c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tau v_0}\right)^{k+1}\int_0^t ds\int d\xi \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_5=0\\ |\boldsymbol{j}|=k+1}}^{k+1} \|\eta^{j_1}\langle x\rangle^{j_4}\|_{L^2}\langle s\xi\rangle^{j_4}\, s^{j_1}|\widehat{V}^{j_2}(\xi)||s\xi|^{j_3}\\
&\leqslant c\,\varepsilon^{k+1}\frac{\langle t\rangle^{k+2}}{(\tau v_0)^{k+1}}\sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_5=0\\ |\boldsymbol{j}|=k+1}}^{k+1}\|\eta^{j_1}\langle\cdot\rangle^{j_4}\|_{L^2}\|\widehat{V}^{j_2}\langle\cdot\rangle^{j_3+j_4}\|_{L^1}\\
&\leqslant c\,\varepsilon^{k+1}\frac{\langle t\rangle^{k+2}}{(\tau v_0)^{k+1}}|||\eta|||_{k+1,2}|||\widehat{V}|||_{k+1,1} \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the theorem now follows from (3.2) and (3.13).

□

For the proof of theorem 2.3 it will be useful the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let* $\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}:\mathbb{R}^n\to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *be defined by*

$$\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\equiv e^{-i\xi_n\cdot}U(t_{n-1})e^{-i\xi_{n-1}\cdot}\cdots U(t_1)e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\phi_0 \qquad (3.14)$$

*Then* $\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ *and for every multi-index* $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$ *there exists* $c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$*, independent of* $t_i$ *for* $i=1,\dots,n-1$*, such that the following estimate holds*

$$\|\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\|_{L^2}\equiv\left\|\left(\prod_{j=1}^n\partial^{\alpha_j}_{\xi_j}\right)\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right\|_{L^2}\leqslant c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(\sum_{h=1}^n\langle\xi_h\rangle\right)^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|} \qquad (3.15)$$

**Proof**

The derivative $\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ is explicitly given by

$$\partial^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})=(-i)^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}e^{-i\xi_n\cdot}Q^{\alpha_n}U(t_{n-1})e^{-i\xi_{n-1}\cdot}Q^{\alpha_{n-1}}\cdots U(t_1)e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}Q^{\alpha_1}\phi_0 \qquad (3.16)$$

where $Q$ denotes the multiplication operator by the independent variable $y$. In order to estimate the norm of (3.16) we shall move all the $Q$'s to the right until they act on $\phi_0$ using the following identities

$$\begin{cases} Q\,U(t)=U(t)\,(Q\cos t-D\sin t)\\ D\,U(t)=U(t)\,(Q\sin t+D\cos t)\end{cases} \qquad (3.17)$$

where $D = i\frac{d}{dy}$. Let us first see how the strategy works for the case $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\xi_j}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= (-i)e^{-i\xi_n\cdot}\cdots e^{-i\xi_j\cdot}QU(t_{j-1})\cdots U(t_1)e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\phi_0\\ &= (-i)e^{-i\xi_n\cdot}\cdots U(t_{j-1})\left(Q\cos t_{j-1} - D\sin t_{j-1}\right)e^{-i\xi_{j-1}\cdot}U(t_{j-2})\cdots U(t_1)e^{-i\xi_{j-1}\cdot}\phi_0\\ &= (-i)e^{-i\xi_n\cdot}\cdots U(t_{j-1})e^{-i\xi_{j-1}\cdot}\left(Q\cos t_{j-1} - D\sin t_{j-1} - \xi_{j-1}\sin t_{j-1}\right)U(t_{j-2})\cdots U(t_1)e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\phi_0\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Iterating the procedure we arrive at

$$\partial_{\xi_j}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = (-i)e^{-i\xi_n\cdot}\cdots U(t_1)e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\Big(Q\cos\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{j-1}t_m\Big) - D\sin\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{j-1}t_m\Big) - \sum_{m=1}^{j-1}\xi_m\sin\Big(\sum_{h=m}^{j-1}t_h\Big)\Big)\phi_0 \tag{3.19}$$

From equation (3.19) we obtain the estimate

$$\|\partial_{\xi_j}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\|_{L^2} \leqslant \|Q\phi_0\|_{L^2} + \|D\phi_0\|_{L^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{j-1}|\xi_m| \leqslant (1 + \|Q\phi_0\|_{L^2} + \|D\phi_0\|_{L^2})\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n}\langle\xi_m\rangle\right)$$

Derivatives of any order can be estimated by iteration of the procedure used in (3.19), starting from the most left derivative and going to the right. This leads to

$$\|\partial_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\zeta_{t_1\dots t_{n-1}}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\|_{L^2} \leqslant \left\|\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left(Q\cos\left(\sum_{m=1}^{j-1}t_m\right) - D\sin\left(\sum_{m=1}^{j-1}t_m\right) - \sum_{m=1}^{j-1}\xi_m\sin\left(\sum_{h=m}^{j-1}t_h\right)\right)\right]^{\alpha_j}\phi_0\right\|_{L^2} \tag{3.20}$$

From estimate (3.20) it is clear that there exists $c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, depending on suitable norms of $\phi_0$, such that (3.15) holds.

□

In the next proposition we derive an expansion for the quantity $\langle\phi_n, I_l(t)\rangle$ which is the key ingredient for the proof of theorem 2.3.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let us consider $\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0}$ as initial state and let us fix $R_0 < a$, $t > \tau$ and $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, with $l \leqslant k$. Then there exists a constant $C$, depending on $k, l, v_0, \tau, t, \eta, V$, such that*

$$\langle\phi_n, I_l(t)\rangle = \sum_{h=l}^{k}\varepsilon^h\langle\phi_n, \mathcal{I}_l^{h,+}\rangle + \varepsilon^{k+1}\langle\phi_n, \mathcal{T}(t)\rangle \tag{3.21}$$

*where*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi_n, \mathcal{I}_l^{h,+}(\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle = \frac{1}{h!(i\sqrt{2\pi})^l v_0^h}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\,e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau}\int_{\Omega_0}d\boldsymbol{z}\,e^{\frac{i}{v_0}(n+1/2)z_l}\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\,e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\xi_j\right)^2}\langle\phi_n, \zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle\\ \cdot\prod_{j=1}^{l}\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\,e^{-i\xi_j x}\sum_{m=0}^{h-l}\eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m\left(\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{h-l-m}\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

*and*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(t)\| \leqslant C\varepsilon^{k+1} \tag{3.23}$$

**Proof**

As a first step we consider the Taylor expansion of the $\varepsilon$-dependent part of the integrand in (2.30) up to order $k-l$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}-\varepsilon v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\, e^{\frac{i\varepsilon}{2v_0}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-l}\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v_0}\right)^j \sum_{m=0}^{j}\eta^m(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m\left(\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{j-m}\\
&+\frac{1}{(k-l+1)!}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{v_0}\right)^{k-l+1}\int_0^1 d\theta\sum_{m=0}^{k-l+1}\eta^m(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}-\varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m\left(\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{k-l+1-m}\\
&\cdot e^{\frac{i\varepsilon\theta}{2v_0}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}}(1-\theta)^{k-l+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

The corresponding expansion of $\langle\phi_n, I_l(t)\rangle$ is given by

$$\langle\phi_n, I_l(t)\rangle = \sum_{h=l}^{k}\varepsilon^h\langle\phi_n,\tilde{I}_l^h(t)\rangle + \varepsilon^{k+1}\langle\phi_n,\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(t)\rangle \tag{3.25}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\phi_n,\tilde{I}_l^h(t;\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle &= \frac{1}{h!(i\sqrt{2\pi})^l v_0^h}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}d\boldsymbol{z}\, e^{\frac{i}{v_0}(n_1/2)z_l}\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\xi_j\right)^2}\langle\phi_n,\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle\\
&\cdot\prod_{j=1}^{l}\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\, e^{-i\xi_j x}\sum_{m=0}^{h-l}\eta^m(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m\left(\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{h-l-m}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\langle\phi_n,\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(t)\rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{k-l+1}\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_m^n(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_m^n(t;\varepsilon x+R_0) &= \frac{e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau}}{(k-l+1)!v_0^{k-l+1}}\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon}d\boldsymbol{z}\, e^{\frac{i}{v_0}nz_l}\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\xi_j\right)^2}\langle\phi_n,\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle\prod_{j=1}^{l}\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\, e^{-i\xi_j x}\\
&\cdot\int_0^1 d\theta\,\eta^m(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}-\varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m\left(\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{k-l+1-m} e^{\frac{i\varepsilon\theta}{2v_0}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}}(1-\theta)^{k-l+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

We have to prove that each term in the r.h.s. of (3.25) is well defined, i.e. $\tilde{I}_l^h(t)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(t)$ must be elements of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We show this fact only for the most singular term $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(t)$, since the other terms can be treated in a similar way.

The square of the norm of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^n_m(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\|\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^n_m(t)\|^2_{L^2} &= c\int dx\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{z}\, d\boldsymbol{z}'\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\, d\boldsymbol{\xi}'\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot\boldsymbol{z}'} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\xi_j\right)^2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\xi'_j\right)^2} \left\langle \zeta_{z'}(\boldsymbol{\xi}'), U\left(\frac{z'_l - z_l}{v_0}\right)\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right\rangle \\
&\cdot \prod_{j=1}^{l} \widehat{V}(\xi_j)\overline{\widehat{V}}(\xi'_j)\, e^{-i\xi_j x} e^{i\xi'_j x} \int_0^1 d\theta\, \eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{k-l+1-m} e^{\frac{i\varepsilon\theta}{2v_0}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}}(1-\theta)^{k-l+1}\int_0^1 d\theta'\eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}' - \varepsilon\theta' v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}') \\
&\cdot (-\boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}')^m \left(-\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z}')\boldsymbol{\xi}'\right)^{k-l+1-m} e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon\theta'}{2v_0}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z}')\boldsymbol{\xi}'}(1-\theta')^{k-l+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where, once again, we have reconstructed the propagator of the harmonic oscillator exploiting the formula

$$\left\langle \zeta_{z'}(\boldsymbol{\xi}'), U\left(\frac{z'_l - z_l}{v_0}\right)\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{i}{v_0}(n+1/2)(z_l - z'_l)}\langle\phi_n, \zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle\overline{\langle\phi_n, \zeta_{z'}(\boldsymbol{\xi}')\rangle} \tag{3.29}$$

In order to control the convergence of the integrals over $\Omega_\varepsilon$, we integrate by parts $k+2$ times w.r.t. to $\xi_j$ and to $\xi'_j$ respectively, for $j = 1, \ldots, l$. For the sake of simplicity, we explicitly perform the computation in the case $l = k = 2$, the general case being more delicate only from the notational point of view. Then we are reduced to estimate the following quantity for $m = 0, 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\|\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^n_m\|^2_{L^2} &= c\int dx\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{z}d\boldsymbol{z}'\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}d\boldsymbol{\xi}'\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot\boldsymbol{z}'} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau(\xi_1+\xi_2)^2} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\xi'_1+\xi'_2\right)^2} \left\langle \zeta_{z'}(\boldsymbol{\xi}'), U\left(\frac{z'_2 - z_2}{v_0}\right)\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\right\rangle \\
&\cdot\ \widehat{V}(\xi_1)\widehat{V}(\xi_2)\overline{\widehat{V}}(\xi'_1)\overline{\widehat{V}}(\xi'_2)\, e^{-i(\xi_1+\xi_2)x} e^{i(\xi'_1+\xi'_2)x} \int_0^1 d\theta\, \eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m \\
&\cdot \left(\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{1-m} e^{\frac{i\varepsilon\theta}{2v_0}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}}(1-\theta)\int_0^1 d\theta'\, \eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}' - \varepsilon\theta' v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}')\,(-\boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}')^m \\
&\cdot \left(-\frac{i}{2}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z}')\boldsymbol{\xi}'\right)^{1-m} e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon\theta'}{2v_0}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z}')\boldsymbol{\xi}'}(1-\theta') \\
&\equiv \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} d\boldsymbol{z}\, d\boldsymbol{z}'\, G(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}')
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

We shall derive a pointwise estimate of $G$. Let us introduce the shorthand notation $\partial_1^{j_i} = \partial_{\xi_1}^{j_i}$ and $\partial_1^{j'_i} = \partial_{\xi'_1}^{j'_i}$ and let us consider the identity

$$(-iz_1)^4 e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} = \partial_1^4 e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} \tag{3.31}$$

Then integrating by parts four times w.r.t. $\xi_1$ and $\xi_1'$ in (3.30) we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^4 z_1'^4 G(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}') = & \int dx \int d\boldsymbol{\xi} \int d\boldsymbol{\xi}'\, e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}} e^{i\boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot\boldsymbol{z}'}\, \widehat{V}(\xi_2)\overline{\widehat{V}}(\xi_2')\, e^{-i\xi_2 x} e^{i\xi_2' x} \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_7=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}|=4}}^{4} \binom{4}{\boldsymbol{j}} \sum_{\substack{j_1',\dots,j_7'=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}'|=4}}^{4} \binom{4}{\boldsymbol{j}'} \\ & \cdot\, \partial_1^{j_1} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau(\xi_1+\xi_2)^2} \partial_1^{j_2} \partial_1^{j_2'} \left\langle \zeta_{z'}(\boldsymbol{\xi}'), U\left(\frac{z_2'-z_2}{v_0}\right) \zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right\rangle \partial_1^{j_3} \widehat{V}(\xi_1) \partial_1^{j_4} e^{-i\xi_1 x} \\ & \cdot \int_0^1 d\theta\, (1-\theta)\, \partial_1^{j_5} \eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\, \partial_1^{j_6} \left\{ (-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m \left( \frac{i}{2}\, \boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi} \right)^{1-m} \right\} \\ & \cdot\, \partial_1^{j_7} e^{\frac{i\varepsilon\theta}{2v_0}\, \boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}}\, \partial_1^{j_1'} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\xi_1'+\xi_2'\right)^2} \partial_1^{j_3'} \overline{\widehat{V}}(\xi_1')\, \partial_1^{j_4'} e^{i\xi_1 x} \int_0^1 d\theta' (1-\theta') \partial_1^{j_5'} \eta^m(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}' - \varepsilon\theta' v_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}') \\ & \cdot\, \partial_1^{j_6} \left\{ (-\boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}')^m \left( -\frac{i}{2}\, \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z}')\boldsymbol{\xi}' \right)^{1-m} \right\} \partial_1^{j_7'} e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon\theta'}{2v_0}\, \boldsymbol{\xi}'\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z}')\boldsymbol{\xi}'} \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Using (3.15) and exploiting the fact that $\|\varepsilon v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\| \leqslant |t|$ for $\boldsymbol{z} \in \Omega_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^4 z_1'^4 |G(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}')| \leqslant & \, c|\boldsymbol{z}||\boldsymbol{z}'| \int dx \int_0^1 d\theta\, d\theta'\, (1-\theta)(1-\theta') \int d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\boldsymbol{\xi}'\, |\widehat{V}(\xi_2)||\widehat{V}(\xi_2')| \\ & \cdot \sum_{\substack{j_1,\dots,j_7=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}|=4}}^{4} \sum_{\substack{j_1',\dots,j_7'=0\\|\boldsymbol{j}'|=4}}^{4} \langle t\rangle^{j_1+j_5+j_1'+j_5'} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle^{2+j_1+j_2+j_7} |\widehat{V}^{j_3}(\xi_1)| \langle x\rangle^{j_4} |\eta^{m+j_5}(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi} - \varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})| \\ & \cdot\, \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}'\rangle^{2+j_1'+j_2'+j_7'} |\widehat{V}^{j_3}(\xi_1')| \langle x\rangle^{j_4'} |\eta^{m+j_5}(x - \boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}' - \varepsilon\theta v_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{z}'\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}')| \end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

We use Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to estimate the integral w.r.t. $x$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^4 z_1'^4 |G(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}')| & \leqslant c\, \langle t\rangle^8 |\boldsymbol{z}||\boldsymbol{z}'| \left( \sum_{\substack{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4=0\\j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=4}}^{4} \|\eta^{m+j_4} \langle\cdot\rangle^{j_2}\|_{L^2} \int d\xi_1\, d\xi_2\, |\widehat{V}(\xi_2)| \langle\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle^{2+j_1+j_2} |\widehat{V}^{j_3}(\xi_1)| \right)^2 \\ & \leqslant c\, \langle t\rangle^8 |\boldsymbol{z}||\boldsymbol{z}'| \left( \sum_{\substack{j_1,j_2=0\\j_1+j_2=4}}^{4} \|\eta^{m+j_1}\langle\cdot\rangle^{j_2}\|_{L^2} \right)^2 \left( \int d\xi \sum_{\substack{j_1,j_2=,0\\j_1+j_2=4}}^{4} \langle\boldsymbol{\xi}\rangle^{2+j_1} |\widehat{V}^{j_2}(\xi)| \right)^4 \\ & \leqslant c\, |\boldsymbol{z}||\boldsymbol{z}'| \langle t\rangle^8 |||\eta^m|||_{4,2}^2 |||\widehat{V}\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{4,1}^4 \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Since the variables $z_1, z_1'$ play no special role, we can repeat the same computation for each variable and we still obtain the same estimate as (3.34). Summing up we arrive at

$$|G(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}')| \leqslant c\, \langle\boldsymbol{z}\rangle^{-3} \langle\boldsymbol{z}'\rangle^{-3} \langle t\rangle^8 |||\eta^m|||_{4,2}^2 |||\widehat{V}\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{4,1}^4 \tag{3.35}$$

The above estimate guarantees that (see (3.30)

$$\sum_{m=0,1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\|\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_m^n(t)\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1/2} \leqslant c\,\langle t\rangle^4\,|||\widehat{V}\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{4,1}^2\sum_{m=0,1}|||\eta^m|||_{4,2} \tag{3.36}$$

in the particular case $l=k=2$. In the general case, exploiting the same argument, one obtain

$$|G(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}')| \leqslant c\,\langle\boldsymbol{z}\rangle^{-(l+1)}\langle\boldsymbol{z}'\rangle^{-(l+1)}\langle t\rangle^{2(k+2)}\,|||\eta^m|||_{k+2,2}^2\,|||\widehat{V}\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{k+2,1}^{2l} \tag{3.37}$$

and therefore

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(t)\| \leqslant \sum_{m=0}^{k-l+1}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\|\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_m^n(t)\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1/2} \leqslant c\,\langle t\rangle^{k+2}|||\widehat{V}\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{k+2,1}^l\sum_{m=0}^{k-l+1}|||\eta^m|||_{k+2,2} \tag{3.38}$$

Using the same kind of arguments, it is easily shown that also $\tilde{I}_l^h(t)$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and this means that equation (3.25) is well defined. To conclude the proof of the proposition it remains to estimate the difference $\mathcal{I}_l^{h,+}-\tilde{I}_l^h(t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi_n,\mathcal{I}_l^{h,+}(\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)-\tilde{I}_l^h(t;\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle &= \frac{e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon(x)}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau}}{h!(i\sqrt{2\pi})^l v_0^h}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\int_{\Omega_0\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon}d\boldsymbol{z}e^{\frac{i}{v_0}nz_l}\int d\boldsymbol{\xi}\,e^{-i\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{z}}e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\sum_{j=1}^l\xi_j\right)^2}\\ &\cdot\langle\phi_n,\zeta_z(\boldsymbol{\xi})\rangle\cdot\prod_{j=1}^l\widehat{V}(\xi_j)\,e^{-i\xi_j x}\sum_{m=0}^{h-l}\eta^m(x-\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})\,(-\boldsymbol{z}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi})^m\left(\frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot M(\boldsymbol{z})\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{h-l-m}\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

If we repeat once again the integration by parts procedure outlined above we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{I}_l^{h,+}-\tilde{I}_l^h(t)\| \leqslant c\,\langle t\rangle^{k+2}|||\widehat{V}\langle\cdot\rangle^2|||_{k+2,1}^l\sum_{m=0}^{k-l+1}|||\eta^m|||_{k+2,2}\int_{\Omega_0\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon}d\boldsymbol{z}\frac{1}{\langle\boldsymbol{z}\rangle^{k+2-h+l}} \tag{3.40}$$

We observe that

$$\int_{\Omega_0\backslash\Omega_\varepsilon}d\boldsymbol{z}\frac{1}{\langle\boldsymbol{z}\rangle^{k+2-h+l}} \leqslant \int_{\|\boldsymbol{z}\|\leqslant\varepsilon^{-1}\langle t\rangle}d\boldsymbol{z}\frac{1}{\langle\boldsymbol{z}\rangle^{k+2-h+l}} \leqslant c\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\langle t\rangle}\right)^{k+1-h} \tag{3.41}$$

Therefore the expansion (3.21) is proved with

$$\mathcal{T}(t)=\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(t)+\sum_{h=l}^{k}\varepsilon^{h-k-1}(\mathcal{I}_l^{h,+}-\tilde{I}_l^h(t)) \tag{3.42}$$

□

We are now in position to prove theorem 2.3.

**Proof of theorem 2.3**

We give the detail for asymptotic expansion associated to $\Psi^+_{\varepsilon,0}$ with $R_0 < a$. The case with $\Psi^-_{\varepsilon,0}$ with $R_0 > a$ is completely analogous. We consider formula (2.3) and we expand each $I_l(t)$, for $l = 1, \dots, k$, according to proposition 3.2. Thus we obtain (2.31) (2.33) and (2.34). It remains to prove that the rest is of order $\varepsilon^{k+1}$. From (2.5) we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{k+1}(t)\| \leqslant \|V\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t ds \, \|I_{k+1}(s)\| \tag{3.43}$$

It is straightforward to notice that, under the assumptions of theorem 2.2, one has the estimate $\|I_{k+1}(s)\| \leqslant c\,\varepsilon^{k+1}$ (we just have to proceed as in proposition 3.2 integrating by parts $k+2$ times without the Taylor expansion) and therefore the proof is concluded.

□

## 4. An application

Here we give an explicit computation of the first and second order terms of the asymptotic expansion of $\Psi^+_\varepsilon(t)$ in the stationary case and discuss an application. From theorem 2.3 we have

$$\Psi^+_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \, e^{i\varphi_\varepsilon} \left( \mathcal{I}_0^+ + \varepsilon \, \mathcal{I}_1^{1,+} + \varepsilon^2 \left( \mathcal{I}_1^{2,+} + \mathcal{I}_2^{2,+} \right) \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \tag{4.1}$$

The first order term is given by

$$\langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_1^{1,+}(\varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot) \rangle = \frac{1}{iv_0\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \, e^{i\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}z} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-iz\xi} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\xi^2} \langle \phi_n, e^{-i\xi\cdot}\phi_0 \rangle \widehat{V}(\xi) e^{-i\xi x} \eta(x - \tau\xi) \tag{4.2}$$

The integral over $z$ can be explicitly computed

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \, e^{i(\frac{n+1/2}{v_0} - \xi)z} = 2\pi\delta\left( \frac{n+1/2}{v_0} - \xi \right) \tag{4.3}$$

and then one obtains

$$\langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_1^{1,+}(\varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{iv_0} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\frac{(n+1/2)^2}{v_0^2}} \langle \phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}\cdot}\phi_0 \rangle \widehat{V}\left( \frac{n+1/2}{v_0} \right) e^{-i\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}x} \eta\left( x - \tau\frac{n+1/2}{v_0} \right) \tag{4.4}$$

We also compute the the two terms appearing at the second order. This calculation shows a glimpse of the difficulties appearing in the general term of the asymptotic expansion which are not hinted by the first order term. The computation is a bit more delicate and it involves $\delta$, $\delta'$ and principal value distributions. The first one is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_1^{2,+}(\varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot) \rangle = \frac{1}{iv_0\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz \, e^{i\frac{n}{v_0}z} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi e^{-iz\xi} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\xi^2} \langle \phi_n, e^{-i\xi\cdot}\phi_0 \rangle \widehat{V}(\xi) e^{-i\xi x} \\ \cdot \left[ \eta(x - \tau\xi) \frac{i}{2} z\xi^2 - z\xi\eta'(x - \tau\xi) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Also in this case the integral over $z$ can be computed explicitly.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dz\, z\, e^{i(\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}-\xi)z} = \frac{2\pi}{i}\delta'\left(\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}-\xi\right) \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_1^{2,+}(\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{v_0}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau}\Bigg\{ & i\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}}\langle\phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\cdot}\phi_0\rangle\widehat{V}(\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0})e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}x} \\
& \cdot\left[\eta\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\frac{i}{2}\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}-\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\eta'\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\right] \\
+\ & e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}}\langle\phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\cdot}\cdot\phi_0\rangle\widehat{V}(\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0})e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}x} \\
& \cdot\left[\eta\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\frac{i}{2}\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}-\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\eta'\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\right] \\
+\ & e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}}\langle\phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\cdot}\phi_0\rangle\widehat{V}'(\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0})e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}x} \\
& \cdot\left[\eta\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\frac{i}{2}\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}-\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\eta'\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\right] \\
+\ & e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}}\langle\phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\cdot}\phi_0\rangle\widehat{V}(\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0})(-ix)e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}x} \\
& \cdot\left[\eta\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\frac{i}{2}\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}-\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\eta'\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\right] \\
+\ & e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}}\langle\phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\cdot}\phi_0\rangle\widehat{V}(\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0})(-ix)e^{-i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}x}\left[\eta\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)i\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\tau\frac{i}{2}\frac{(n+\frac12)^2}{v_0^2}+1\right)\eta'\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)+\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\eta''\left(x-\tau\frac{n+\frac12}{v_0}\right)\right]\Bigg\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The second term contains a less trivial integral over $z$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_2^{2,+}(\varepsilon x+R_0,\cdot)\rangle = \frac{1}{i2v_0^2 2\pi}e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau}\int_{z_1\leqslant z_2} dz_1 dz_2\, e^{i\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}z_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2\, e^{-i(z_1\xi_1+z_2\xi_2)}e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau(\xi_1+\xi_2)^2} \\
\cdot\ \langle\phi_n, e^{-i\xi_2\cdot}U\left(\frac{z_1}{v_0}\right)e^{-i\xi_1\cdot}\phi_0\rangle\widehat{V}(\xi_1)\widehat{V}(\xi_2)e^{-i(\xi_1+\xi_2)x}\eta(x-\tau(\xi_1+\xi_2))
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

If we expand the harmonic oscillator propagator

$$U\left(\frac{z_1}{v_0};y,z\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-i\frac{z_1}{v_0}(m+1/2)}\phi_m(y)\phi_m(z) \tag{4.9}$$

we are reduced to compute

$$\int_{z_1 \leqslant z_2} dz_1 dz_2\, e^{-i\left(\xi_2 - \frac{n+1/2}{v_0}\right)z_2} e^{-i\left(\xi_1 + \frac{m+1/2}{v_0}\right)z_1} = 2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 e^{-iu_1\left(\xi_1+\xi_2+\frac{m-n}{v_0}\right)} \int_0^{\infty} du_2 e^{-iu_2\left(\xi_2-\xi_1-\frac{m+1+n}{v_0}\right)} \tag{4.10}$$

We have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} du_1 e^{-iu_1\left(\xi_1+\xi_2+\frac{m-n}{v_0}\right)} = 2\pi\delta\left(\xi_1+\xi_2+\frac{m+-n}{v_0}\right) \tag{4.11}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} du_2 e^{-iu_2\left(\xi_2-\xi_1-\frac{m+1+n}{v_0}\right)} = \pi\delta\left(\xi_2-\xi_1-\frac{m+1+n}{v_0}\right) - \frac{1}{2i\pi}\ \mathrm{PV}\ \frac{1}{\xi_2-\xi_1-\frac{m+1+n}{v_0}} \tag{4.12}$$

We already remarked that the stationary phase expansion is different with respect to the usual one due to since the stationary point lies on a one dimensional subset of the integration domain boundary. The appearance of distributions, like the Principal Value in (4.12), is a manifestation of this fact. We have

$$\langle \phi_n, \mathcal{I}_2^{2,+}(\varepsilon x + R_0, \cdot)\rangle = \frac{1}{i2v_0^2}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}n\tau} e^{-i\frac{n-m}{v_0}x} e^{\frac{i}{2}\tau\left(\frac{n-m}{v_0}\right)^2} \eta\left(x - \tau\frac{n-m}{v_0}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\xi_1 d\xi_2\, \delta\left(\xi_1+\xi_2+\frac{m-n}{v_0}\right)$$
$$\left[\pi\delta\left(\xi_2-\xi_1-\frac{m+1+n}{v_0}\right) - \frac{1}{2i\pi}\ \mathrm{PV}\ \frac{1}{\xi_2-\xi_1-\frac{m+1+n}{v_0}}\right] \langle \phi_n, e^{-i\xi_2 \cdot}\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m e^{-i\xi_1 \cdot}\phi_0\rangle \widehat{V}(\xi_1)\widehat{V}(\xi_2)$$

We notice that, following the same kind of arguments, in principle one can explicitly compute also any other higher order term of the asymptotic expansion of $\Psi_\varepsilon^+(t)$.

We want to conclude with a brief discussion of a possible physical application of our result. Let us consider the following initial state

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,0}(R,r) = \alpha_\varepsilon \left( \frac{e^{i\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}R}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \eta(\varepsilon^{-1}(R-R_0)) + \frac{e^{-i\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}R}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \eta(\varepsilon^{-1}(R+R_0)) \right) \phi_0^\varepsilon(r) \tag{4.13}$$

where $v_0, R_0 > 0$, $R_0 < a$ and $\alpha_\varepsilon$ is the normalization factor

$$\alpha_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 + \int dx\, \eta(x)\eta(x - 2R_0\varepsilon^{-1}) \cos 2iv_0\varepsilon^{-1}(x - R_0\varepsilon^{-1}) \right)^{-1/2} \tag{4.14}$$

We notice that by repeated integration by parts one easily obtains $\alpha_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^k)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In (4.13) we are assuming that at time zero the test particle is described by a coherent superposition of two wave packets with opposite average momenta and the oscillator is in its ground state. Exploiting theorems 2.2, 2.3, we explicitly write the first order approximation of the time evolution of (4.13) for a fixed $t > \tau$

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(t) = e^{-i\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}H_0^\varepsilon}\Theta_\varepsilon + \mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(t) \tag{4.15}$$

where $\|\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(t)\| < c\,\varepsilon^2$ and

$$\Theta_\varepsilon(R,r) = \alpha_\varepsilon\, e^{-2i\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}R_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Theta_{n,\varepsilon}(R)\, \phi_n^\varepsilon(r) \tag{4.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{n,\varepsilon}(R) = {}& \frac{e^{i\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}R}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \eta(\varepsilon^{-1}(R-R_0))\,\delta_{n0} + \frac{e^{-i\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}R}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \eta(\varepsilon^{-1}(R+R_0))\,\delta_{n0} \\ & + \beta_{n,\varepsilon}\sqrt{\varepsilon}\, e^{i\left(\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{n+1/2}{v_0\varepsilon}\right)R} \eta\big(\varepsilon^{-1}(R-R_0) - \tau(n+1/2)v_0^{-1}\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

$$\beta_{n,\varepsilon} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{iv_0} e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{(n+1/2)R_0}{v_0} + n\tau\right)} e^{i\frac{(n+1/2)^2}{2v_0^2}} \langle \phi_n, e^{-i\frac{n+1/2}{v_0}\cdot}\phi_0\rangle \widehat{V}\big(v_0^{-1}(n+1/2)\big) \tag{4.18}$$

It is also convenient to consider the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Theta}_{n,\varepsilon}$ of $\Theta_{n,\varepsilon}$, which is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\Theta}_{n,\varepsilon}(K) = {}& \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, e^{-i\left(K-\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}\right)R_0}\, \hat{\eta}(\varepsilon K - \varepsilon^{-1}v_0)\,\delta_{n0} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\, e^{i\left(K+\frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2}\right)R_0}\, \hat{\eta}(\varepsilon K + \varepsilon^{-1}v_0)\,\delta_{n0} \\ & + \beta_{n,\varepsilon}\,\varepsilon^{3/2}\, e^{-i\left(K - \frac{v_0}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{n+1/2}{v_0\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{\varepsilon\tau(n+1/2)}{v_0} + R_0\right)} \hat{\eta}\big(\varepsilon K - \varepsilon^{-1}v_0 + v_0^{-1}(n+1/2)\big)\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Starting from (4.15), our aim is to compute approximate expressions for the probabilities of the outcomes of the measurement of some interesting observables relative to the system which could possibly be performed for $t > \tau$ . In particular we are interested in:
- the probability to find the energy $E_0$ or $E_1$ for the oscillator, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_0$, $\mathcal{P}_1$ respectively;
- the probability to find a positive momentum for the test particle and the energy $E_0$ or $E_1$ for the oscillator, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{+,0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{+,1}$ respectively.

In order to control the approximations, we observe that for any projection operator $P$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, which commutes with $H_0^\varepsilon$, one has

$$|(\Psi_\varepsilon(t), P\Psi_\varepsilon(t)) - (\Theta_\varepsilon, P\Theta_\varepsilon)| \le \|\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(t)\| \Big(2\|P\Theta_\varepsilon\| + \|\mathcal{E}_\varepsilon(t)\|\Big) \le c\,\varepsilon^2 \Big(\|P\Theta_\varepsilon\| + \varepsilon^2\Big) \tag{4.20}$$

From (4.15), a direct application of the Born's rule and (4.20) we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = |\alpha_\varepsilon|^2 \int dR\, |\Theta_{0,\varepsilon}(R)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \tag{4.21}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = |\alpha_\varepsilon|^2 \int dR\, |\Theta_{1,\varepsilon}(R)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) = \frac{1}{2}\, |\beta_{1,\varepsilon}|^2\, \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \tag{4.22}$$

Moreover

$$\mathcal{P}_{+,0} = |\alpha_\varepsilon|^2 \int_0^\infty dK\, |\widehat{\Theta}_{0,\varepsilon}(K)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \tag{4.23}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{+,1} = |\alpha_\varepsilon|^2 \int_0^\infty dK\, |\widehat{\Theta}_{1,\varepsilon}(K)|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) = \frac{1}{2}\, |\beta_{1,\varepsilon}|^2\, \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3) \tag{4.24}$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{+,0}}{\mathcal{P}_0} = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \tag{4.25}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{+,1}}{\mathcal{P}_1} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \tag{4.26}$$

The l.h.s. of formulas (4.25), (4.26) could be interpreted as a sort of conditional probabilities. More precisely, (4.25) says that if the oscillator remains in the ground state then the probability to find a positive momentum for the test particle is approximately one-half. This essentially means that in this case the superposition state for the test particle survives. On the other hand, (4.26) says that if the oscillator is in the first excited state then the probability to find a positive momentum for the test particle is approximately one. In such case the test particle is described by a wave packet with positive momentum moving to the right of the oscillator. It should be stressed that both "histories" are contained in the complete wave function of the system and a choice is made only when a real measurement of the energy of the oscillator is performed.

## 5. APPENDIX

In this appendix we give the proof of proposition 2.1 which is essentially based on algebraic manipulations and the use of Fourier transform. From (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\phi_n, I_l(t)\rangle = (-i)^l \sum_{n_1 \dots n_l = 0}^{\infty} \delta_{n_1 0} \int_0^t ds_l \int_0^{s_l} ds_{l-1} \dots \int_0^{s_2} ds_1 \langle\phi_n, e^{i\frac{s_l}{\varepsilon^2}H_0} V e^{-i\frac{s_l}{\varepsilon^2}H_0}\phi_{n_l}\rangle \\ \cdot \langle\phi_{n_l}, e^{i\frac{s_{l-1}}{\varepsilon^2}H_0} V e^{-i\frac{s_{l-1}}{\varepsilon^2}H_0}\phi_{n_{l-1}}\rangle \dots \langle\phi_{n_2}, e^{i\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}H_0} V e^{-i\frac{s_1}{\varepsilon^2}H_0}\phi_{n_1}\rangle\psi^+\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

For the generic scalar product appearing in the integrand in (5.1) we have

$$\langle\phi_n, e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}H_0} V e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}H_0}\phi_m\rangle = e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}(n-m)s} e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0} V_{nm} e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0} \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}V^{\varepsilon}_{nm}(R) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int dr\, \phi_n\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(r-a)\right) V\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(R-r)\right) \phi_m\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(r-a)\right) \\ &= \int dx\ \phi_n(x)\phi_m(x) V\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(R-a) - x\right) \\ &= \int d\xi\ \widehat{V}(\xi)\widehat{\phi_n\phi_m}(\xi)\, e^{-i\xi\frac{R-a}{\varepsilon}}\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Furthermore for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0}e^{-i\xi\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0}g\right)(R) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int dk\, e^{ikR}\,\widehat{\left(e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0}e^{-i\xi\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0}g\right)}(k)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int dk\, e^{ikR}e^{i\frac{k^2\varepsilon^2}{2}s}\,\widehat{\left(e^{-i\xi\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}}e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0}g\right)}(k)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int dk\, e^{ikR}e^{i\frac{k^2\varepsilon^2}{2}s}\,\widehat{\left(e^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}h_0}g\right)}\left(k+\varepsilon^{-1}\xi\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int dk\, e^{ikR}e^{i\frac{k^2\varepsilon^2}{2}s}e^{-i\frac{s\varepsilon^2}{2}\left(k+\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}\right)^2}\widehat{g}\left(k+\varepsilon^{-1}\xi\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-is\frac{\xi^2}{2}}\int dk\, e^{ikR}e^{-i\varepsilon sk\xi}\widehat{g}\left(k+\varepsilon^{-1}\xi\right)\\
&= e^{is\frac{\xi^2}{2}}\,e^{-i\frac{R}{\varepsilon}\xi}\,g(R-\varepsilon s\xi)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

From (5.2), (5.3), (5.4) we conclude

$$\left(\langle\phi_n, e^{i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}H_0}Ve^{-i\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}H_0}\phi_m\rangle g\right)(R) = e^{\frac{i}{\varepsilon}(n-m)s}\int d\xi\,\widehat{V}(\xi)\widehat{\phi_n\phi_m}(\xi)\,e^{i\xi\frac{a}{\varepsilon}}e^{is\frac{\xi^2}{2}}\,e^{-i\frac{R}{\varepsilon}\xi}\,g(R-\varepsilon s\xi) \tag{5.5}$$

Substituting (5.5) into (5.1) and taking into account the explicit expression of $\psi^+$ we obtain (2.12).

$\square$

## REFERENCES

[AFFT] Adami R., Figari R., Finco D., Teta A., On the asymptotic dynamics of a quantum system composed by heavy and light particles. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **268**, no. 3 (2006) 819-852.

[BH] Bleinstein N., Handelsman R.A., *Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals*, Dover Publ., New York, 1975.

[BGJKS] Blanchard Ph., Giulini D., Joos E., Kiefer C., Stamatescu I.-O. eds., *Decoherence: Theoretical, Experimental and Conceptual Problems*, Lect. Notes in Phys. 538, Springer, 2000.

[CCF] Cacciapuoti C., Carlone R., Figari R., Decoherence induced by scattering: a three dimensional model. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **38**, no. 22, 4933-4946 (2005).

[D] Dell'Antonio G., Towards a theory of decoherence. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B*, **18**, no. 4-5, 643-654 (2004).

[DFT1] Dell'Antonio G., Figari R., Teta A., Joint excitation probability for two harmonic oscillators in dimension one and the Mott problem. *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, n. 4 (2008) 042105.

[DFT2] Dell'Antonio G., Figari R., Teta A., A time-dependent perturbative analysis for a quantum particle in a cloud chamber *preprint* arXiv:0907.5503.

[DuFT] Dürr D., Figari R., Teta A., Decoherence in a two-particle model. *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, no. 4, 1291-1309 (2004).

[DS] Dürr D., Spohn H., Decoherence Through Coupling to the Radiation Field, in *Decoherence: Theoretical, Experimental and Conceptual Problems*, Blanchard Ph., Giulini D., Joos E., Kiefer C., Stamatescu I.-O. eds., Lect. Notes in Phys. 538, Springer, 2000, pp. 77-86.

[F] Fedoryuk M.V., The stationary phase method and pseudodifferential operators. *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **26**, n. 1, 67-112, (1971).

[GJKKSZ] Giulini D., Joos E., Kiefer C., Kupsch J., Stamatescu I.-O., Zeh H.D., *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory*, Springer, 1996.
[H] Hörmander L.,*Linear Partial Differential Operators vol.II*, Springer Verlag, 1983.
[M] Mott, N.F., The wave mechanics of $\alpha$-ray tracks. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, **A 126**, 79–84 (1929).

FINCO: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÁ DI ROMA
*Current address*: P.le Aldo Moro, 2 - 00185 Roma, Italy
*E-mail address*: `finco@mat.uniroma1.it`

TETA: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DI L'AQUILA
*Current address*: Via Vetoio - Loc. Coppito - 67010 L'Aquila, Italy
*E-mail address*: `teta@univaq.it`